



Annex 1 – Seafood Processing Standard 6.0 Finished Product Testing Operational Guidance

Issue 1.0 – Public Comment Draft
DD-MONTH-YEAR (Effective Date)

Global Seafood Alliance Guidance

Table of Contents

Introdu	ction	 3
	use Annex I	
	Risk Status:	
	Elevated Risk Status	
1.2	High Risk Status	
	Low Risk Status	
	Instructions for Facilities and Auditors	
Laborat	cory Testing and Methodology Requirements	11
	ted Further Reading	
	ons	

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 2 of 14

Introduction

The purpose of Annex I is to provide operational guidance to the certification bodies, auditors and facilities that are required to conduct finished product testing. The Seafood Processing Standard (SPS) has identified three categories of risk to determine if finished product testing is required. The categories are low, elevated, and high risk. Finished product testing is required for all facilities that fall under the elevated and high-risk categories.

- The following information is contained in Annex 1
- Defining risk status
- Sample selection
- Auditor required documentation
- · Sample compositing requirements
- What type of testing shall be conducted
- Laboratory and methodology requirements

How to use Annex I

- Refer to section <u>1.0 Risk Status</u> that provides the definitions and criteria for each of the risk categories.
- Determine if finished product testing is required based on the criteria in section 1.0
- If the assessment determines that the facility falls within the elevated or high-risk categories, proceed to Section 2.0 Instructions for Facilities and Auditors.
- Low risk assessments that fall outside of elevated or high-risk categories are exempt from product testing

1.0 Risk Status:

1.1 Elevated Risk Status

Facilities will be initially designated under the Elevated Risk status if they fall under <u>any</u> of the criteria in 1.1.1-1.1.4 below.

- 1.1.1 New facilities applying for certification located in regions of high production and antimicrobial use, or in countries with high numbers of US FDA refusals or equivalent regulatory enforcement actions. Specifically, new facilities applying to the GSA Program located in China, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Thailand, or Vietnam.
- 1.1.2 New facilities applying for SPS certification that have been listed on the US FDA Refusal List (or international equivalent) for microbiological pathogens, antimicrobial resides, or histamine within the past 12 months prior to applying.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 3 of 14

- 1.1.3 SPS certified facilities (or renewal in process) receiving non-conformities for FDA Refusals (or equivalent) for microbiological pathogens, antimicrobial resides, or histamine. When this occurs during a certification cycle, the facility shall be required to undergo a supplementary Targeted Audit¹ that includes assessment of corrective actions and Finished Product Testing as described herein. In addition, the facility shall be required to undergo Finished Product Testing during their next recertification audit.
- 1.1.4 SPS certified facilities (or renewal in process) receiving non-conformities for product recalls due to microbiological pathogens, antimicrobial resides, or histamine. When this occurs during a certification cycle, the facility shall be required to undergo a 1-day Targeted Audit that includes assessment of corrective actions and Finished Product Testing as described herein. In addition, the facility shall be required to undergo Finished Product Testing during their next recertification audit.
- 1.1.5 A facility that fails a finished product testing event in either a targeted or certification audit shall be required to undergo an additional 1-day Targeted Audit that includes assessment of corrective actions and finished product testing as described herein. In addition, the facility shall be required to undergo Finished Product Testing during their next recertification audit.

1.2 High Risk Status

A facility that fails 3 Finished Product Testing events is considered High Risk and subject to suspension from the SPS Program.

1.3 Low Risk Status

Facilities designated as Low Risk are those that fall outside designation criteria 1.1 and 1.2. Facilities that have successfully passed Finished Product Testing requirements under 1.1 will be moved to Low Risk Status and exempt from product testing during all recertification audits as long as Low Risk Status designation is maintained.

2.0 Instructions for Facilities and Auditors

- 2.1 All Finished Product Testing under the SPS shall be under the supervision of an assigned auditor. Plant ongoing monitoring is encouraged but no longer required under SPS Finished Product Testing.
- 2.2 At the beginning of an audit, facilities shall present to the auditor a full inventory of all products in storage. Auditors shall use this list to select lots for sampling, and for assessing potential discrepancies between inventory sheets and identification codes on packaging in storage. No product in storage can be excluded from inventory list. This includes products destined for local distribution. Sampling lots should be selected by the auditor based on potential risk factors observed by auditors.
- 2.3 The auditor has a role during the annual audit to arrange for the selection and collection of samples to be sent to a qualified third-party laboratory for testing. Plants are responsible for all testing costs

¹ See GSA Supplemental Audit Policy for requirements. Unless otherwise agreed upon between GSA and certification body, a Targeted Audit under Finished Product Testing is expected to be no more than 1-day which includes report writing.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 4 of 14

related to certification. SPS sampling requirements do not override legal sampling and testing obligations.

2.4 Selection of Samples

2.4.1 The auditor shall select 8 samples total for aquaculture, and/or 8 samples total for wild-harvested products, depending on the scope of certification (aquaculture only, wild only, or both). See FPT Table 1 below for guidance

FPT Tab	FPT Table 1: General Requirements for Finished Product Testing					
Facility Scope	Test Category	Number of Tests	Composite Description	Facility Classification		
Aquaculture and wild- harvested	Microbiological	1 set of tests (Table 2) as applicable_for each primary product form sampled.** Minimum 2 microbiological tests across the 8 samples submitted.	1-4 samples per composite depending on the number of samples per primary product form.	All processors and reprocessors.		
Aquaculture	Drug Residues, Histamines	2 sets of tests (Table 3) across 8 samples submitted.	4 samples per composite.	All processors and reprocessors.		
Wild-harvested	Histamines	1 histamine test for each susceptible species sampled (Table 4)	Up to 4 samples per composite.	All processors and reprocessors.		

^{**}Primary product forms are defined on pages 12 and 13

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 5 of 14

- 2.4.2 Species: If more than 1 species is present within the scope of the audit, the auditor shall select 4 samples from 2 different species under consideration of food safety risk factors. Aquaculture related risk factors may include species that require formulated feeds versus unfed species (e.g., antimicrobials are typically more associated with fed species; example: fed *Litopenaeus vannamei* versus unfed *Penaeus monodon*), or species whose health records indicate recent antimicrobial treatments. Wild species related risk factors include species whose finished products may contain histamines.
- 2.4.3 Product forms: Product forms shall also be selected based on food safety risk factors. Ready To Eat products are considered high risk and should be selected if present. If 2 or more product forms are present the auditor should select at least 2 different product forms for sampling.
- 2.4.4 Production lots: The auditor should select from across as many different production lot codes present at time of sampling within protocols stated in 2.4.1-2.4.3. If production lots are segregated into exported versus local destinations, at least 1 lot of locally designated product should be sampled.
- 2.4.5 Sample size shall be 750g per sample.
- 2.4.6 Aseptic sampling protocols shall be followed at all times.

2.5 Auditor Documentation

- 2.5.1 Auditors shall supply documentation associated with the samples collected, to include:
 - A copy of the plant inventory sheet in use on the day samples are collected, against which the selection of samples was made (supply as an Excel or Word file, or as a legible scanned file or photo)
 - List of samples collected, facility and laboratory details (as an Excel file), detailing the following:
 - Facility name and GSA Facility number
 - Third-party laboratory name and contact details
 - · Sampling date and times
 - Species (scientific name)
 - Primary Product Form description (per sample)
 - Alphanumeric Sample Code assigned by auditor as written on sample bags (per sample)
 - Production lot ID or date code (per sample)
 - Description of product, including product specifications such as size or count, supplier code, etc. (per sample)
 - Photos of each sample collected, showing the assigned alphanumeric code and any other tracking information visible on the bag (per sample).
 - A description of how the samples were packed and shipped shall be provided to GSA.

2.6 Compositing and Testing

2.6.1 Compositing of samples is to be done at the Third-party Laboratory. General requirements for compositing and testing are described in Table 1. Requirements for microbiological parameters for all scopes are listed in Table 2. Requirements for drug residues under the aquaculture scope are provided in Table 3. Requirements for toxins under the wild-harvested scope are provided in Table 4.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 6 of 14

2.6.2 Once testing has been completed, the laboratory shall forward an original copy of the analytical results directly to the CB, with a copy to the facility. Results shall be documented in the certification records, and the CB shall supply GSA with copies of the test results.

FPT Table 2: Microbiological Testing Criteria (Aquaculture and Wild)					
Intended Use Category	Microbiological Criteria	Species /Forms	GSA-BAP/BSP Action Levels**		
Raw and Ready to Eat	Generic Escherichia coli	Finfish, crustaceans, and mollusks /all forms- fresh and frozen	≤40 CFU/g		
Raw and Ready to Eat	Staphylococcus aureus	Finfish/crustaceans /all forms- fresh and frozen	Reject if positive for either Staphylococcal enterotoxin ⁾ , or a level equal to or greater than 1 x 10 ⁴ bacteria per g (MPN)		
Ready to Eat Only	Salmonella sp.	Finfish/crustaceans/moll uscan shellfish / all forms fresh and frozen	Reject if presence is detected in 25 grams		
Ready to Eat Only	Listeria spp.	finfish/crustaceans/mollu scan shellfish /all forms fresh and frozen	Reject if presence is detected in 25 grams		
Ready to Eat Only	Vibrio parahaemolyticus or Vibrio spp	Oysters, clams, mussels either shucked, or in shell, post-harvest processed, frozen or unfrozen	Reject if presence is detected or greater than or equal to 30 bacteria per gram (MPN) in 25 grams		

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 7 of 14

FPT Table 3: Required Chemical Residue Testing for Aquaculture Finished Products

Acceptable Test Methods*	Banned Chemical Residue - Aquaculture Drug	GSAAction Level** (μg/kg or ppb)	Limits
	Chloramphenicol	0.3	no residue permitted
Test methods based on	Nitrofuran Metabolites		
ELISA(screening)	Furazolidone		
Chromatography-Mass	Furaltadone	1.0	no residue permitted
Spectrometry	Nitrofurantoin		
	Nitrofurazone		
Published ELISA	<u>Fluoroquinolones</u> Sarafloxacin		
screening methods may be permitted. In the	Ciprofloxacin	1.0	no residue permitted
event of residue	Enrofloxacin		
detection, confirmation shall be conducted by standard methods: Chromotography- MS/MS,GC, HPLC	Triphenylmethane Dyes Sum of Malachite Green & Leuco- malachite Green Sum of Gentian Violet &	0.5	no residue permitted
	Leucogentian violet		
	<u>Quinolones</u>		no residue permitted
	Flumequine	5.0	
	Oxolinic acid	5.0	

Specified residue levels of Sulfadiazine, Sulfamethazine, Oxytetracycline, Tetracycline, and Florfenicol may be permissible in some countries

	•		
Acceptable Test Methods*	Chemical Residue - Aquaculture Drugs that are allowed in some countries for some species	GSA- Action Level**	Acceptable Test Methods*
Published rapid ELISA screening methods may	Sulfonamide (parent drug)	10.0	no residue permitted in unapproved species
be permitted. In the event of residue	Oxytetracycline	10.0	no residue permitted in unapproved species
detection, confirmation shall be conducted by	Tetracycline	10.0	no residue permitted in unapproved species
standard methods: HPLC, -MS/MS Chromatography- Spectrometry/GC	Florfenicol	10.0	no residue permitted in unapproved species

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 8 of 14

FPT Table 4. Required Finished Product Testing for Wild and Aquaculture Fish Species that are associated with Scrombrotoxin (Histamine)

Examples of Acceptable Test Methods*	Toxin	GSA Action Level*	Limits
HPLC/Colormetric Assay, Enzymatic Assay	Histamine (Scombrotoxin)	50 ppm	50 ppm

^{*} Published methods shall meet or exceed the sensitivity stated in table FPT 4.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 9 of 14

Market Names	Latin Names	Market Names	Latin Names
ALEWIFE OR RIVER HERRING	Alosa pseudoharengus	MACKEREL, CHUB	Scomber spp.
AMBERJACK	Seriola spp.	MACKEREL, JACK	Trachurus spp.
AMBERJACK OR YELLOWTAIL	Seriola lalandi	MACKEREL, SPANISH	Scomberomorus spp.
AMBERJACK OR YELLOWTAIL,	Seriola lalandi	MACKEREL, NARROW-BARRED	Scomberomorus commerson
AQUACULTURED		SPANISH	
ANCHOVY	Anchoa spp.	MACKEREL, SPANISH OR KING	Scomberomorus cavalla
	Anchoviella spp.	МАНІ-МАНІ	Coryphaena spp.
	Cetengraulis mysticetus	MAHI-MAHI, AQUACULTURED	Coryphaena spp.
	Engraulis spp.	MARLIN	Makaira spp.
	Stolephorus spp.	7	Tetrapturus spp.
BLUEFISH	Pomatomus saltatrix	MENHADEN	Brevoortia spp.
ONITO	Cybiosarda elegans	7	Ethmidium maculatum
	Gymnosarda unicolor	PILCHARD OR SARDINE	Sardina pilchardus
	Orcynopsis unicolor		Sardinops spp.
	Sarda spp.	SAILFISH	Istiophorus platypterus
SCOLAR OR OILFISH	Lepidocybium flavobrunneum	SARDINE	Harengula spp.
	Ruvettus pretiosus		Sardinella spp.
	Lepidocybium flavobrunneum	SAURY	Cololabis saira
HERRING	Etrumeus teres		Scomberesox saurus
	Harengula thrissina		Trachurus spp.
	Ilisha spp.	SCAD OR HORSE MACKEREL	Trachurus trachurus
	Opisthopterus tardoore	SHAD	Alosa spp.
	Pellona ditchela	SHAD, GIZZARD	Dorosoma spp.
	Alosa spp.		Nematalosa vlaminghi
HERRING OR SEA HERRING OR	Clupea spp.	SHAD, HILSA	Tenualosa ilisha
HERRING, THREAD	Opisthonema spp.	SPEARFISH	Tetrapturus spp.
HORSE MACKEREL OR SCAD	Trachurus trachurus	SPRAT OR BRISTLING	Sprattus spp.
ACK	Caranx spp.	TREVALLY	Caranx spp.
	C. ignobilis	TUNA (SMALL)	Allothunnus fallai
	C.melampygus	TOTAL (STULLE)	Auxis spp.
	C.latus	4	Euthynnus spp.
	C. lugubris	7	Katsuwonus pelamis
	C. ruber	7	Thunnus tonggol
	Carangoides bartholomaei	TUNA (LARGE)	Thunnus alalunga
	Oligoplites saurus	10.0.1 (2.0.02)	Thunnus albacares
	Selene spp.	7	Thunnus atlanticus
	Seriola rivoliana	7	Thunnus maccoyii
	Urapsis secunda	7	Thunnus obesus
ACK OR BLUE RUNNER	Caranx crysos	7	Thunnus thynnus
ACK OR CREVALLE	Alectis indicus	TUNA, AQUACULTURED	Thunnus spp.
ACK OR RAINBOW RUNNER	Elagatis bipinnulata	WAHOO	Acanthocybium solandri
ACK OR ROOSTERFISH	Nematistius pectoralis	YELLOW TAIL OR AMBERJACK	Seriola lalandi
KAHAWAI	Arripis spp.	YELLOWTAIL OR AWBERJACK YELLOWTAIL AMBERJACK,	Seriola lalandi
		AQUACULTURED	
MACKEREL	Gasterochisma melampus	MACKEREL	Scrombus scrombus
	Grammatorcynus spp.		
	Rastrelliger kanagurta		

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 10 of 14

Laboratory Testing and Methodology Requirements

- Product testing shall be conducted at an accredited ISO/IEC17025 3rd party laboratory
- The laboratory shall be operating under the principles of ISO/IEC17025, and participate in an accredited proficiency testing program.
- The laboratory shall be operating under Good Laboratory Practices (GLPs), and participate in an accredited proficiency testing program.
- Methods shall be published, performance tested methods, such as AOAC, AOAC RI, NordVal AFNOR, Health Canada, and recognized by USFDA (BAM), EU, CFIA or other national regulatory bodies.
- Validation of the approved matrices for the methods used shall be suitable for products undergoing testing.
- The methodology applied for chemical residue testing shall meet the GSA action levels stated in FPT Table 3. The Levels stated are designated as minimum levels of method sensitivity for the chemical residues listed in Tables 3 and 4.
- GSA recognizes that not all countries/regions may have laboratories with accredited scope to the sensitivity stated in GSA Action Levels. All efforts should be made to locate labs capable of achieving these sensitivity levels (LODs, LORs, LOQs). CBs are asked to contact GSA Program Integrity for consideration where this has not been, or cannot reasonably be, achieved.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 11 of 14

Suggested Further Reading

Canadian Food and Inspection Agency Bacteriological Guidelines For Fish and Fish Products- Standards and Methods Manual [Online] // Govenment of Canada. - https://inspection.canada.ca/food-safety-for-industry/food-safety-standards-guidelines/bacteriological-guidelines/eng/1558757049068/1558757132060.

Canadian Food Inspection Agency Canadian Food Inspection Agency Standards and Methods Manual (2013) Appendix 1A – CFIA Aquaculture Therapeutant Residue Monitoring. [Online]. - 2013. - http://www.inspection.gc.ca/food/requirements-and-guidance/preventive-controls-food-businesses/fish/aquaculture-therapeutant-residue-monitoring-list/eng/1515417397242/1515417466758?gf.

Codex Alimentarius Codex Standard for Canned Finfish [Report]. - [s.l.]: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. World Health Organization, 2016.

John DeBeer Jon W. Bell, Fred Nolte, et al Histamine Limits by Country: A Survey and Review [Journal]. - [s.l.]: Journal of Food Protection, 2021. - 9: Vol. 84.

Official Journal of the European Union Commission Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 of 15 November 2005 on microbiological criteira for foodstuffs [Online]. - https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32005R2073&from=EN.

Official Journal of the European Union Commission Decision of 13 March 2003 amending decision amending Decision 2002/657/EC as regards the setting of minimum required performance limits [Online]. - March 2003. - https://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2003:071:0017:0018:EN:PDF.

Schar D., Klein, E.Y., Laxminarayan, Marius Glibert & Thomas P. Van Boeckel Global Trends in antimicrobial use in aquaculture [Journal] // Scientific Reports. - [s.l.] : Scientific Reports, 2020. - 21878 : Vol. 10.

T. Surya* B. Sivaraman, V. Alamelu, A. Priyatharshini, U. Arisekar and S. Sundhar, Rapid Methods for Histamine Detection in Fishery Products [Journal]. - [s.l.]: International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 2019. - 22319-7706: Vol. Volume 8 Number 03.

United States Department of Agriculture Food Safety and Inspection Service CLG-MRM1.08. Screening and Confirmation of Animal Drug Residues by UHPLC-MS-MS. [Online].

United States Food & Drug Agency Data Dashboard-Import Refusals [Online]. - www.datadashboard.fda.gov/ora/cd/imprefusals.htm.

United States Food and Drug Adminstration Fish and Fisheries Products Hazards and Controls Guidance [Online]. - June 22 . - www.fda.gov/media/80637/download.

United States Food and Drug Agency FDA and EPA Safety Levels in Regulations and Guidance -Table A5-1 [Online] // Fish and Fisheries Products Hazards and Controls Guidance. - June 2021. - www.FDA.gov/media/80400/download.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 12 of 14

Definitions

AFNOR- Association française de normalisation

AOAC - Association of Official Analytical Chemists

BAM - Bacteriological Analytical Manual

CFIA- Canadian Food Inspection Agency

IQF - Individually Quick Frozen

LOQ – Limit of Quantification. A laboratory analyzing substances for which an LOQ is stated must utilize an approved method that has a minimum performance level in keeping with the LOQ.

Microbiological Criteria – Criteria defining the acceptability of a product, a batch of foodstuffs or a process based on the absence, presence or number of micro- organisms and/or on the quantity of their toxins/metabolites, per unit(s) of mass, volume, area or batch.

MPN - Most Probable Number

MRPL – Minimum Required Performance Limits – minimum limits for analytical methods used for the detection of banned substances. MRPLs are set by the EU for substances that are banned/not allowed to be used. And have set this limit for the analytical method used for substances for which no safe permitted limit has been established.

NordVal- NorVal International

ppb - parts per billion (µg/kg)

ppm - parts per million (µg/g)

Primary Product Forms - As referred to in the SPS - "Primary Product Form" examples are:

- Fresh
- Raw ready-to-eat (e.g. sashimi, sushi)
- Raw frozen
- Raw breaded
- Cooked breaded
- Cooked
- Dumpling
- Smoked cold
- Smoked hot
- Pickled
- Dried
- Canned
- Salted
- Marinated
- Modified Atmosphere

Raw frozen product forms – for the purpose of this definition, include all raw IQF or block frozen products expected to have the same hazards (e.g. microbiological). Examples include: deveined, peeled and deveined, whole, de-headed, butterfly tail on.

	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External		Page 13 of 14

Residue analysis – involves both screening and confirmatory methods for identifying residues include Gas Chromatography (GC), High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Liquid Chromatography with Mass Spectrometry (LCMS/MS), ELISA (enzyme-linked Immunoassay) screening.



	Seafood Processing Standard - Annex 1	Issue Number 1.0	Effective Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR Published Date: DD-MONTH-YEAR
Group Program Integrity	Status Public Comment - External	Page 14 of 14	